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BACKGROUND

PREVIOUS STUDY (Baba & Tsuyuki, 2000):
Water resources quantity and quality degradation.

no significant land use/land cover changes during 1972-1998

Land-use/land cover is not a single contributed factor

Land-use intensification, Paddy field

Baba and Tsuyuki (2000) have concluded also that Landsat TM alone is not able to differentiate paddy field with water body and grass

Related to characteristic of paddy field and the way of farmer in Indonesia/Rawadanau to plant paddy

small size area per land parcel and apply successive planting
1. How to investigate land-use intensification?
2. How to overcome difficulties to monitor small parcel of paddy field using successive planting?

APPLICATION OF JERS-1 SAR L BAND

JERS-1 L Band (RADAR) advantages
- 5 meter resolution
- RADAR penetrate canopy of vegetation
- Reflectance of RADAR influenced by biomass of vegetation and soil surface roughness
- Reflectance influenced by agricultural activities: harvesting, planting, land preparation etc

Paddy field:
- Decrease and increase of reflectance during one year period
- Idle Land (Water, Bareland, Grass, Bush): Steady or increase value of reflectance during one year period
Objective

Develop a technique: Combination of Landsat (optical sensor) and JERS-1 L Band (RADAR) for Paddy Field Identification

Materials

GIS dataset
- Topographic maps (DEM)
- Rivers, Settlement, Roads,

Imageries
- LANDSAT, JERS-1 L BAND

(a) Landsat 1997
- 4 January 1997,
- 2 April 1997,
- 16 May 1997,
- 25 September 1997
- 8 November 1997

Flow of Analysis

- Data Preparation
- Geometric Correction
- Filtering of JERS data
- Determine changes
  (Thresholding 1 SD)
- Union Landsat analysis with JERS analysis result
- Low pass Filtering

FLOW OF ANALYSIS

- JERS-1 SAR Image-differencing,
  \[ D_{x}(t_1, t_2) = x'(t_2) - x'(t_1) + C \]
  Where \( D_{x}(t_1, t_2) \) is differential image, 
  \( x'(t_1) \) and \( x'(t_2) \) are images acquired at date \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \), and 
  \( C \) is a scalar. \( C \) is maximum value of 16 bit data (65536)

- Threshold Value
  Change threshold of one SD value below and above the mean are selected

Histogram curve, showing mean and one SD threshold (modified from Lunetta and Elvidge, 1999)
FLOW CHANGE ANALYSIS OF JERS-1 L BAND

(a) 4 January 1997,
(b) 2 April 1997,
(c) 16 May 1997,
(d) 25 September 1997
(e) 8 November 1997

ORIGINAL IMAGES

SUBTRACTION

CHANGES COMPOSITE IMAGE

APPLIED 1 SD THRESHOLDING

ORIGINAL AND ITS COLOR COMPOSITE IMAGE

ORIGINAL FALSE COMPOSITE MAY JERS-1 L BAND, RGB: JANUARY, APRIL, MAY

SUBTRACTION COMPOSITE IMAGE

R:G:B = (April-January), (May-April), (September-May)
INFORMATION COMBINATION LANDSAT TM AND JERS-1 BAND

1. Area of paddy field detected by Landsat in combination with JERS-1 SAR data is found to be lower than identification result of Landsat alone. This is partly explained by the fact that inundated land could be classified as "paddy" by a single Landsat observation data.

2. The methodology is proved to be beneficial for paddy field estimation, even though the parcel size of paddy field is small and farmers are practicing successive planting.

3. However, some improvement and further validation should be taken. Improvement of the method will be directed to detect the level intensification of the paddy field cultivation. To do this, up to date and time series Radar SAR data should be available within a year.

4. The more accurate estimation of land-use area and its utilization intensity could assist in understanding the process of water resources and wetland ecosystem degradation.

RESULT
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